Antimicrobial Activity of Vinegar on Bacterial Species Isolated from Retail and Local Channel Catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*)

Talaysha Lingham¹, Samuel Besong¹, Gulnihal Ozbay² and Jung-Lim Lee¹*

¹Department of Human Ecology, College of Agriculture and Related Sciences, Delaware State University, 1200 North DuPont Highway, Dover, DE 19901, USA
²Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources, College of Agriculture and Related Sciences, Delaware State University, 1200 North DuPont Highway, Dover, DE 19901, USA

**Abstract**

The use of vinegar was studied to reduce the growing number of illnesses caused by spoilage bacteria. The antimicrobial activity of organic vinegar was studied on various bacterial species isolated from domestic channel catfish fillets (*Ictalurus punctatus*). The effectiveness of the vinegar on the bacteria was measured. Bacteria isolated from catfish fillets with the largest inhibition zone were identified through 16S rDNA sequencing to better understand the spoilage bacteria that could be inhibited by vinegar to increase the quality of fishery products. Microbial changes of catfish fillets were evaluated following treatments of vinegar marinades during storage at 4°C and sensory evaluation was performed with baked catfish fillets to evaluate consumer sensory acceptability.

Fish and chip vinegar was the most effective on *Shewanella putrefaciens* isolated from catfish fillets from the market and the pond. Microbial changes were evaluated and sensory evaluation was performed on different acetic acid dilutions of vinegar. Results showed that vinegar diluted to 0.5% acetic acid on catfish fillets would be suitable for prolonging shelf life and appealing to consumers. Vinegar as a natural antimicrobial product can improve the shelf life and safety of food products providing acceptable sensory quality at an affordable price and reducing economic lost due to spoiled catfish and other food products.

**Keywords:** Antimicrobial activity; Vinegar; Catfish; Bacterial identification

**Introduction**

There is an increasing interest in applying natural antimicrobial compounds in the food industry. Consumers are increasingly avoiding the consumption of foods treated with chemicals. Natural alternatives are needed to achieve a high level of safety with respect to foodborne pathogenic microorganisms [1]. The natural sanitizers, such as organic acids, have been investigated because of their bactericidal activity [2]. Among the natural products, vinegar, also known as acetic acid, contains sanitizing properties. Vinegar is an acidic liquid that is made from the fermentation of an alcoholic beverage mainly wine [3]. The total acidity of vinegar is expressed as acetic acid which is the major organic acid in vinegar. Acetic acid is a monocarboxylic acid. It has a pungent odor and flavor. It is generally regarded as safe (GRAS) for general purpose and miscellaneous usage. According to Malicki, organic acids are considered weak acids meaning the antimicrobial effect of organic acids is mainly caused by its undissociated forms [4]. They passively diffuse through the bacteria cell wall and internalizing into neutral pH dissociating into anions and protons. Release of the protons causes the internal pH to decrease which exert inhibitory effects on the bacteria [5].

Organic acids have been approved by the Food Safety and Inspection Service of the United States Department of Agriculture [6]. Various researchers have proved the antibacterial effect of organic acids on different types of pathogenic bacteria. Organic acids such as tartaric, citric, lactic, malic, propionic, and acetic acids have been used for years for decontamination of bacteria on beef, pork, and poultry [7]. Organic acids that are used to inhibit spoilage bacteria in meat are applied by spraying and dipping techniques [8]. In a study conducted by Bradley, the addition of citric acid and acetic acid each reduced the growth of Enterobacteriaceae [9].

Chaff vinegar has been found to inhibit the growth of pathogenic bacteria such as *E. coli* [10]. Vinegar assists in suppressing the anthracnose rot in tomatoes [11]. It also assists in eliminating *Salmonella Typhimurium* in carrots [12]. Vinegar may be used as a mixture or alone as a natural flavoring in some salads [13]. These salad dressings provide a harsh environment for foodborne pathogens such as *Salmonella* and *E. coli* to survive because of the acetic or citric acids [14]. In a study by Frederick et al. results indicated that 2% acetic acid reduced the incidence of *Salmonella* on pork [15]. In the United States, salad dressings maintain a good safety record and are widely used [16].

Channel catfish contains health benefits and a wide consumer acceptance. Consuming fish at least one to two times per week results in a positive effect on one’s health [17-19]. If not preserved in a certain way, significant economic losses could result from the highly perishable nature of catfish [20]. It is estimated that one-fourth of the world’s food supply is lost through microbial activity alone [21]. Freshness of fish deteriorates rapidly so maintaining the quality is of high importance.

Food spoilage can be considered as “any change which renders a product unacceptable for human consumption” [22]. Trimethylamine (TMA) is responsible for the “fishy” odor [23]. When oxygen levels are depleted, trimethylamine oxide (TMAO) is reduced to TMA [24]. Food spoilage is an economical problem despite the food technology and preservation techniques available. CO₂ has a positive effect on food preservation.
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shelf life. CO₂ and MAP (modified atmosphere packaging) reduces the growth of *Shewanella* and *Pseudomonas* [25-28]. Using CO₂ alone would cause it to dissolve in meat and fish resulting in package shrinking and deformation [29-30]. A disadvantage of MAP is that the increased package volume would increase transportation costs [25]. With vacuum packaging, there is less volume but it is less effective than MAP [26].

To the best of our knowledge, studies have been mostly interested in the inhibitory effect of vinegar on foodborne pathogens and not bacteria isolated from catfish. The objectives of this study were: (1) to measure the antimicrobial activity of vinegar on bacteria isolated from catfish from a local seafood market and local aquaculture pond by performing zone of inhibition test and also evaluating microbial changes following the treatment of vinegar on catfish fillets. (2) To identify the bacteria derived from catfish fillets using 16S rDNA and (3) To evaluate consumer sensory acceptability with catfish fillets with vinegar marinades.

### Materials and Methods

#### Fish and chip (fc) vinegar

Crosse and Blackwell Old English Fish and Chip 100% Natural Malt (FC) Vinegar was used in this study. It was purchased from a retail store. It contains 5% acetic acid by volume. This vinegar product was chosen because it contains 100% natural malt vinegar. Dilutions of fish and chip vinegar to 0.5, 1, 2.5, and 5% acetic acid were used.

#### Isolation of bacteria from catfish fillets (*Ictalurus punctatus*)

Domestic catfish fillets (*Ictalurus punctatus*) were used. Catfish fillets came from a local seafood market and the Delaware State University Aquaculture Research and Demonstration Facility. Catfish fillets were preserved in ice prior to experiment and were used for positive control. Bacteria derived from catfish fillets were isolated by homogenizing a fillet in 0.85% saline solution in a stomacher bag and spread plating onto Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA) plates. Plates were incubated overnight at 28°C. This was done for two cycles every 2 days until the spoilage point was reached. Bacteria with different morphologies were selected and used in this study to test the inhibitory effect of vinegar on different bacteria isolated from catfish fillets.

#### Zone of inhibition

The zone of inhibition test measured the antimicrobial activity of FC vinegar on 240 bacteria isolated from catfish fillets. The vinegar sample was filtered prior to testing with 0.22 µm filters (Millipore Filter Unit, Cork, Ireland). Each bacterium was inoculated into 500 ml of broth and incubated overnight at 28°C. This was done for two cycles every 2 days until the spoilage point was reached. Bacteria with different morphologies were selected and used in this study to test the inhibitory effect of vinegar on different bacteria isolated from catfish fillets.

#### 16S rDNA Sequencing

The ten bacteria isolated from catfish fillets from both the retail store and local aquaculture pond that showed the largest inhibition zone sensitive to 5% acetic acid of FC vinegar were selected to be identified in this study. The twenty candidates were identified through 16S rDNA sequencing. Each candidate was streaked on TSA plates and incubated overnight at 28°C to grow pure colonies. Colonies were delivered for 16S rDNA sequencing performed by GENEWIZ Inc. (South Plainfield, NJ). Seven hundred to one thousand base pairs of each 16S rDNA sequencing data were used to search for bacterial species using the Genbank database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank). Bacterial identity was chosen based on 99% or greater matches.

#### Bacterial Enumeration

Domestic catfish fillets were purchased from a retail store in Dover, Delaware. Catfish fillets were preserved in ice prior to experiment and were used for positive control. Fillets were cut and 100 g were added to five stomacher bags as follows: control, FC 0.05, FC 0.5, and FC 5%. Acetic acid dilutions of FC vinegar (250 ml) were poured into each of the five stomacher bags. The stomacher bags were stored at 4°C for 15 minutes to marinate the fillets in the vinegar. Excess vinegar was drained out and 10 g of fillet and 90 ml of 0.85% saline solution were homogenized into each of the five stomacher bags. Ten-fold serial dilutions were performed using TSA and spread onto TSA plates and incubated for 2 days at 25°C. Total bacteria were enumerated. The nine stomacher bags were plated every 2 days until samples reached their spoilage point and the stationary phase of bacterial growth was reached. Bacterial enumeration was performed in triplicates.

#### Sensory evaluation

Frozen catfish fillets were purchased from a retail store in Dover, Delaware. Fillets were placed in zip lock bags in the following order: control, FC 0.05, FC 0.5, and FC 5%. The control in this study was the same fillet used for the vinegar treated samples, but did not contain any vinegar. Vinegar with different acetic acid dilutions were poured into each of the four zip lock bags. The bags were stored at 4°C for 15 minutes to marinate in the vinegar. Excess vinegar was drained out and the fillets were placed on baking pans. Each sample was baked in separate ovens to avoid cross-contamination of aromas and flavors between samples. They were baked at 176.7°C for 10 minutes per inch of thickness or until flaky and with an opaque appearance. Fillets were cut into small cubes (3 cm³) using a sterile kitchen knife and placed into small sampling cups. The four samples were labeled with 3-digit random numbers. Twenty-one panelists participated in the sensory evaluation. Each panel received the five samples and distilled water.
to cleanse their pallet after each sample. Appearance (color), flavor, aroma and texture were evaluated using the Hedonic nine point scale; 1= Dislike extremely and 9= Like extremely.

Statistical analysis

Microsoft Excel (1997) was used to analyze the bacterial data. All sensory evaluation data were analyzed using generalized linear models generated by the SAS System ('SASApp', X64_SRV08, SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Rating was the dependent variable. The analysis was based on the Hedonic nine-point scale of attributes including appearance (color), aroma, flavor, and texture per sample (Control, FC 0.05, FC 0.5, and FC 5%). A confidence interval of 95% and alpha level (α) 0.05 was used for the statistical analysis. Values of \( p < 0.05 \) were deemed significant.

Results and Discussion

Determination of antimicrobial activity by using zone of inhibition method

This was the only study that evaluated the inhibitory effect of acetic acid from vinegar on bacteria isolated from catfish. Various acetic acid dilutions of FC vinegar were tested on bacteria isolated from market and local aquaculture pond catfish fillets. The zones of inhibition were ranked according to the 5% acetic acid of vinegar. The largest zone of inhibition was seen in the 5% acetic acid of vinegar.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catfish Isolate</th>
<th>Bacterial Identification 16S rDNA Sequencing Result</th>
<th>Similarity (%)(^1)</th>
<th>Length (bp)(^2)</th>
<th>GenBank Accession Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 1 2</td>
<td>Shewanella putrefaciens</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>AB681550.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 1 7</td>
<td>Shewanella putrefaciens</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>AB681550.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 3 4</td>
<td>Shewanella putrefaciens</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>FJ375179.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 4 4</td>
<td>Shewanella putrefaciens</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>AB681550.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 1 2</td>
<td>Aeromonas sobria</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>JN55613.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 2 3</td>
<td>Aeromonas sobria</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>839</td>
<td>JN55613.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 6 1</td>
<td>Shewanella putrefaciens</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>NR_044863.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 2 1</td>
<td>Shewanella putrefaciens</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>AB681550.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 3 3</td>
<td>Enterobacteriaceae bacterium/Rahnella aquatilis</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>HQ624881.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 4 1</td>
<td>Pseudomonas fragi</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>827</td>
<td>AB865609.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)Similarity of 16S rDNA region between catfish isolate samples and closest relative found in GenBank database.
\(^2\)Base pair used for gene alignment.

Table 2: Identification of bacterial isolates from local seafood market catfish based on 16S rDNA sequencing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle</th>
<th>Catfish Isolate</th>
<th>Bag</th>
<th>Bacteria</th>
<th>Bacterial Identification 16S rDNA Sequencing Result</th>
<th>Similarity (%)(^1)</th>
<th>Length (bp)(^2)</th>
<th>GenBank Accession Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Shewanella putrefaciens</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>AB208055.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia/Stenotrophomonas rhizophila</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>HM007572.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Shewanella putrefaciens</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>769</td>
<td>HG831393.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Aeromonas hydrophila/Aeromonas veronii</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>HE681732.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pantoea agglomerans</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>766</td>
<td>FJ357834.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Plesiomonas shigellioidea</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>839</td>
<td>HM007572.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pseudomonas fluorescens/Pseudomonas mandelli</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>AB681956.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Plesiomonas shigellioidea</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>JN638055.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pantoea agglomerans</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>697</td>
<td>AJ233423.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)Similarity of 16S rDNA region between catfish isolate samples and closest relative found in GenBank database.
\(^2\)Base pair used for gene alignment.

Table 3: Identification of bacterial isolates from local aquaculture pond catfish based on 16S rDNA sequencing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone of inhibition with FC 5% (mm)</th>
<th>Bacterial identification</th>
<th>Number of bacteria*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10-15</td>
<td>Shewanella putrefaciens</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia/Stenotrophomonas rhizophila</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aeromonas sobria</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aeromonas hydrophila/Aeromonas veronii</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enterobacteriaceae bacterium/Rahnella aquatilis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pseudomonas fragi</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pantoea agglomerans</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plesiomonas shigellioide</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pseudomonas fluorescens/Pseudomonas mandelli</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pseudomonas putida/Pseudomonas veronii</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^*\)The frequency of each catfish bacterial isolate identified from the retail store and pond

Table 4: Identification of bacterial isolates from the retail store local aquaculture pond based on 16S rDNA sequencing with zone of inhibition by fish and chip vinegar with 5% acetic acid.
Changes in Microbial Growth with Vinegar Treatments

Domestic catfish fillets with no vinegar treatment and treatments of 0.03, 0.05, 0.5, and 5% acetic acid dilutions of FC vinegar were stored at 4°C for 20 days. (Figure 1) illustrates changes in total bacteria enumerated every two days. The bacterial growth increased slightly less than the control for treatments with 0.03 and 0.05% acetic acid of vinegar and was largely reduced after 15 minutes of marinating in 5% acetic acid of the vinegar sample. There was a large reduction in bacterial growth for vinegar 0.5% and then remained constant in bacteria growth with little variation. These results were similar to a study by Bal'a and Marshall who evaluated the microbiological changes of catfish fillets following treatment of dipping 2% acetic acid [32]. He concluded that little microbial proliferation was observed. The shelf life of modified atmosphere packaged fillets can be prolonged by spraying with 10% acetic acid/acetate [33]. FC 5% maintained a large reduction in bacterial growth from day 0 to day 12 and remained at a constant of 0 CFU/g thereafter. Therefore, the addition of FC 0.5 and 5% prolongs the shelf life of domestic catfish fillets more than FC 0.03 and 0.05%.

Sensory evaluation of baked catfish fillets with vinegar treatments

Twenty-one panelists evaluated four sensory attributes; appearance, aroma, flavor, and texture for the four samples including the control using the Hedonic nine-point scale. The samples consisted of control and three treatments, FC 0.05, FC 0.5, and FC 5%. The least liked from the sensory evaluation were aroma and flavor in the catfish fillets marinated with 5% compared to the other samples. The aroma average for FC 5% was 3.71, “dislike moderately”. The flavor average for FC 5% was 4, dislike slightly (Table 5). The aroma and the flavor showed the lowest results in the 5% marinade sample because of the strong aroma and flavor of the acid. One study used organic acids to prolong the shelf life of fresh salmon [34]. Salmon samples treated with acetic acid marinades showed significant acid odor and flavor. Minimal differences were obtained among the other samples (control, FC 0.05, and FC 0.5) on all four attributes with averages ranging from 5.19 to 6.43. The average ratings of appearance and texture ranged from 5 to 6.43. Appearance and texture were consistent in rating among all samples compared to aroma and flavor. The only color change observed was on the fillet with FC 5% because of the vinegar’s dark color. The more diluted vinegar samples did not cause a change in color on the fillet. According to Pons-Sanchez-Cascado et al. marinating involves changes in textual properties of fish [35]. In their study, anchovies (Engraulis encrasicolus) were immersed in a vinegar solution (20% acetic acid v/v) and vacuum-packed and resulted in a color change in the anchovies [35]. In a study of Schirmer et al. with organic acids on fresh salmon, no significant differences were found for hardness [34].

The statistical analysis was based on the Hedonic nine-point scale of four attributes per four samples. Values of p<0.05 were deemed significant. The most significantly different sample was FC 5% (p=0.02). Statistical analysis show that the attribute aroma of sample FC 5% was the most significant attribute with sample indicating the least liked of the panelists. Aroma was significantly different compared to appearance (p=0.04) and texture (p=0.003). Flavor of sample FC 5% was also significant among other samples and attributes (p<0.05). No significant differences were observed between the control and the vinegar treated samples with low acetic acid dilutions.
Conclusion

Vinegar applied as an antimicrobial agent in this study was shown to be effective in reducing spoilage bacteria. This was the only study that evaluated the inhibitory effect of acetic acid from vinegar on bacteria isolated from catfish. Fish and chip vinegar was the most effective on Shewanella putrefaciens isolated from catfish fillets from the market and local aquaculture pond. Vinegars were more effective on bacteria isolated from retail catfish fillets than local pond catfish fillets. Fish and chip vinegar containing 5% acetic acid would be appropriate in reducing bacteria but an acetic acid dilution of vinegar less than 5% appeals more to consumers in terms of aroma and flavor. Therefore, the most suitable acetic acid dilution of vinegar on catfish fillets would be 0.5% to prolong shelf life without diminishing the product sensory quality for consumers. The vinegar products can be applied as natural antimicrobial agents that can increase the safety, shelf life, and quality of fishery and other food products.
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